

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JASON MICHAEL PADESKI,

Defendant and Appellant.

E066197

(Super.Ct.No. SWF1501414)

O P I N I O N

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Kelly L. Hansen, Judge.
Affirmed.

Anna M. Jauregui-Law, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant
and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On April 13, 2016, defendant and appellant Jason Michael Padeski pled guilty to
carjacking (count 2; Pen. Code, § 215, subd. (a))¹ and robbery (count 3; § 211). As

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise indicated.

provided in the plea agreement, the court sentenced defendant to nine years' imprisonment.

After defendant filed a notice of appeal, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, setting forth a statement of the case and identifying one potentially arguable issue: whether the court sentenced defendant in conformity with the plea agreement. We affirm.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The People charged defendant by information with kidnapping to commit robbery (count 1; § 209, subd. (b)(1)), carjacking (count 2; § 215, subd. (a)), robbery (count 3; § 211), criminal threats (count 4; § 422), and shoplifting (count 5; § 459.5). On April 13, 2016, defendant pled guilty as described above. In return, the People agreed to the dismissal of the remaining counts and a sentence of nine years' imprisonment. The court sentenced defendant to nine years' incarceration as contemplated in the plea agreement.

II. DISCUSSION

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which he has not done. Pursuant to the mandate of *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, we have independently reviewed the record for potential error and find no arguable issues.

III. DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

McKINSTER
Acting P. J.

We concur:

CODRINGTON
J.

SLOUGH
J.